

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,863	03/31/2004	Edward Vaquero	P03166	5586
23702 Bausch & Lom	7590 12/28/200 ⁻ b Incorporated	1	EXAMINER	
One Bausch &	Lomb Place		LANG, AMY T	
Rochester, NY	Y 14604-2701		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		,	12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
ъ ′ с	10/813,863	VAQUERO, EDWARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Amy T. Lang	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication		h the correspondence addres	s		
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statul - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wil Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI I, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communated the community of the community			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>09 October 2007</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-13 and 22-28</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1, 3-13, and 22-28</u> are subjec	et to restriction and/or election requ	irement.			
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	ı) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	52.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priority do 	ocuments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority do	•	•			
	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stag	je ·		
application from the Internationa	· · ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action f	for a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
,	·				
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-892) 		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	_ ·			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 and 3-13 stand rejected in the Final Office action mailed 06/06/2007.

Claims 1 and 3-13 are currently amended and claims 22-28 are new.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1, 3-13, and 22-25, drawn to a device for injecting a foldable IOL into an eye, classified in class 606, subclass 107.
 - II. Claims 26-28, drawn to a method, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be used by another and different apparatus. For instance, the process can be accomplished with an IOL injecting device that does not comprise a region of increasing diameter that terminates at an open tip.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number:

10/813,863

Art Unit: 3731

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Jeff Powers on 12/18/2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

10/813,863 Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy T. Lang whose telephone number is 571-272-9057. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on 571-272-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

12/19/2007

AR

Toda E. Manahan Spi 3731